

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/615,305	07/13/2000	Wolfgang Meier	BioCure 101	4801	
7590 02/12/2004			EXAM	EXAMINER	
Collen A Beard Esq			KISHORE, GOLLAMUDI S		
BioCure Inc Suite 100	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
2975 Gateway Drive			1615		
Norcross, GA	30071		DATE MAIL ED: 02/12/200	DATE MAILED: 02/12/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/615,305	MEIER ET AL.				
Auvisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Gollamudi S Kishore, PhD	1615				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.) a timely filed amendment whicl	ation. A proper reply to a				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Official of the control of the contr	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply be later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or				
1. ☐ A Notice of Appeal was filed on 14 January 2004. A 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o	thin the period set forth in fithe appeal.				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:	•				
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of f	nally rejected claims.				
NOTE:						
$3. \boxtimes$ Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s): 112, first paragraph, new	matter rejection.				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment				
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: <u>Se</u>		dered but does NOT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3-6,9-14,16-20 and 27-30</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b)□ disapproved by t	he Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·				
10. Other:						
•		65 Kuh				
		Gollamudi S Kishore, PhD Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1615				

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: as pointed out before, instant claims do not exclude patial incorporation taught in the reference, as argued .

Slauda Goliamudi S. Kishore, PhD Primary Examiner Group 1**§**00